

# Introductions to the composition

***Write an argumentative essay stressing the necessity to spend more money and put more importance on either the humanities or science!***

## **Negative examples**

Science is very important for our daily lives. It makes them easier and helps us understand the world better. Scientists have also found ways to cure illnesses and make people's lives safer. Science also helps us to communicate with others through the internet and get much information. So science is necessary and important, but should we spend **more** money and importance on it (**more than now/more than on humanities? Unclear!**) **Too many supporting points in introduction already! Last sentence leads into a pro/con essay on science ...**

I'm not really interested in science that much. It isn't interesting for me how and why my mobile works or why I can get in contact with others through the internet. It has to work! That is more important and necessary for me. But my teacher in physics told me that I can't live with such an attitude and explained to me the positive and interesting aspects of science and why we should spend more importance on it. **But what side will your composition follow: yours or your teacher's? We need an introduction to an essay arguing clearly for science or for humanities ....**

I personally think that humanities and science are both important for the world. If they worked together, maybe they would achieve more than now. In the following I'll show you the different qualities of these parts of academics. **This is going to be a "pro/con" essay. But we need an introduction to an essay arguing clearly for science or for humanities here.**

Over the last years the technological developments made possible by scientists have more and more reached the average home. But at the same time fewer and fewer people are interested in science, although it is crucial for our society and lifestyle. ~~Is it more valuable than humanities?~~ **This question leads to a pro/con essay -but we need an introduction to an essay arguing clearly for science or for humanities here. A better sentence here is:** This is clearly a dangerous development that we have to stop by making it clear to people how much we depend on and profit from science.

Did you know? Hippos kill more humans annually than lions, crocodiles or snakes. So why do we know that? And what provides us with such amazing, interesting or important facts? **Who cares about hippos and crocodiles in Europe ... not a very good example for important facts!** It's not the humanities., but of course the sciences! So in my opinion science should definitely be supported and valued much more. Let me give you some arguments to prove that this would be the right decision ... **Quite good apart from the ill-chosen example.**

## Positive examples

Yesterday I watched a TV-talkshow. Two professors of literature were explaining why humanities are more important in education than science, but I had to disagree completely: It seemed to me there were at least three important arguments proving the need for more science.

Since the splitting of general natural philosophy into humanities and sciences, there has always been a fight between them. They both claim to be the more important part of academics - yet I think that science is clearly the more important one of the two for two simple reasons.

It is one of the important questions for mankind whether there are other forms of life out in the universe. Science, not the humanities, will one day be able to answer this questions properly by giving us the theory and technology to understand and explore the galaxies out there. That is only one of the reasons why science – and not primarily the humanities - should be supported with a lot of money, and be accepted as our main way to the big answers. But there are many more good reasons to take science more seriously.

At the moment we are facing a clear dilemma - Whether to put more money into science or into humanities. Which of the two is more important for mankind? The one that cures cancer or the one that talks about Jesus and what painting is more beautiful? I think it is obvious why I believe the first one - science – is far more important.

First of all ...

Scientists are often seen as nerds, unable to perform simple social tasks, boring and unathletic people that spend most of their time alone or at the library. Yet in my opinion it is these people and their science that have made and will make our world a better place – not the humanities, with all their talk of ethics, culture and psychology.

So it is easy to prove why science should be given priority and more financial support - especially in our educational system. To begin with, science has ...

In Germany politicians and newspapers are glad to report that Germany has done much better in the last PISA reports – especially in the sciences. Actually, however, this is rather bad news, as it also means that we have not given much thought to the humanities. But it is them that really make us human and our lives worth living – not science. But how can the humanities claim to be such a crucial part of human life and education?